



Tuhinga whai tohutohu | Consultation document

Review of enrolled nurse and registered nurse competencies Including amendments to the registered nurse scope of practice statement

December 2023

Ngā pātai whaitohutohu | Consultation questions

Name of organisation/submitter: Southern Cross Hamilton Hospital

We may publish submissions on our website. Please check the box below if you would like your response to be kept confidential.

Please keep this response confidential

Enrolled nurse competencies

Consultation questions	Your response
Question 1. Do you think the proposed enrolled nurse competencies are broad enough to cover all practice areas?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment	

Consultation questions	Your response
Question 2. Do you agree with the overall structure of the proposed enrolled nurse competencies?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
<p><u>Comment:</u> Why do the ENs have different titles to the Pous that the RNs have?</p> <p>The competencies are very complex and not easily understood and will be challenging for both portfolio candidates, peers and assessors, particularly in the private surgical environment</p>	

Pou One: Te Tiriti o Waitangi	
Question 3. Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou One: Te Tiriti o Waitangi?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Question 4. What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou One?	Fewer competencies there are too many. Why to ENs have to pronounce Maori names correctly to achieve the competency. Who is going to assess the correct pronunciation?
Pou Two: Cultural Safety	
Question 5. Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou Two: Cultural Safety?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Question 6. What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Two?	Fewer competencies. Competency 2.4 does not meet the Domain Description – take it out
Pou Three: Knowledge Informed Practice	
Question 7. Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou Three: Knowledge Informed Practice?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Question 8. What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Three?	Fewer competencies there are too many. 3.2, 3.4 & 3.5 are very similar Join 3.6 & 3.7

Pou Four: Professional Accountability and Responsibility

Question 9. Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou Four: Professional Accountability and Responsibility?

Yes
 No
 Partly

Question 10. What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Four?

4.3- how do you envisage this being evidenced?
 4.4 what is considered "continuing competence requirements"
 4.4: Reword to: engages in ongoing professional development to ensure practice meets accepted evidence based guidelines

Pou Five: Partnership and Collaboration

Question 11. Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou Five: Partnership and Collaboration?

Yes
 No
 Partly

Question 12. What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Five?

5.1 & 5.3 should be merged

Other comments

Question 13. Do you have any other comments?

The competency wordings need to be simplified., they are too wordy.
 Will there be additional guidance for portfolio preparation to provide some practice examples.
 An increase from 17 competencies to 29 competencies are far too many! Duplication needs to be removed as suggested in this submission

Registered nurse competencies

Consultation questions	Your response
Question 14. Do you think the proposed registered nurse competencies are broad enough to cover all practice areas?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment	Doubling the competencies certainly broadens the practice and will burden the workload of the RN, peers, and assessors.
Question 15. Do you agree with the overall structure of the proposed registered nurse competencies?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment	Still too many competencies.

Pou One: Te Tiriti o Waitangi, Ōritetanga and Social Justice

Question 16. Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou One: Te Tiriti o Waitangi, Ōritetanga and Social Justice?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Question 17. What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou One?	1.3 - how would a nurse demonstrate this and how would a peer assess this for the RN? 1.4... this appears to be a repetition 1.5 – what determines “continuous” is it annual, monthly...?

Pou Two: Kawa Whakaruruhau and Cultural Safety

Question 18. Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou Two: Kawa Whakaruruhau and Cultural Safety?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Question 19. What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Two?	Some wording in the glossary of terms is not easy to understand or commonly used. E.g. epistemologies

Pou Three: Pūkengatanga and Excellence in Nursing Practice

Question 20. Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou Three: Pūkengatanga and Excellence in Nursing Practice?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partly <input type="checkbox"/>
--	---

<p>Question 21. What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Three?</p>	<p>Far too many competencies</p> <p>3.1 – is a requirement to address assessment of all three, people, Whanau and communities, or is it acceptable to choose one group applicable to the workplace</p> <p>3.3 is covered in 2.2</p> <p>3.4 far too wordy. Does the person have to provide examples of all components, or can they choose just digital health or just artificial intelligence technologies one of the components to provide evidence of fro their portfolio</p> <p>3.9: Confused how culture of safety and continuous quality improvement will achieve equitable health care in the private sector?</p> <p>3.13: how do you envisage this being evidenced?</p>
<p>Pou Four: Manaakitanga and People Centredness</p>	
<p>Question 22. Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou Four: Manaakitanga and People Centredness</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Partly <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Question 23. What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Four?</p>	
<p>Pou Five: Whakawhanaungatanga and Communication</p>	
<p>Question 24. Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou Five: Whakawhanaungatanga and Communication?</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Partly <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Question 25. What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Five?</p>	<p>Far too many competencies</p> <p>5.4 How in a self-assessment can this be demonstrated?</p> <p>5.5, 5.6, 5.7,5.8, could be combined to one competency</p>
<p>Pou Six: Rangatiratanga and Leadership</p>	
<p>Question 26. Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou Six: Rangatiratanga and Leadership?</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Partly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Question 27. What would you strengthen, change or add to Pou Six?</p>	<p>6.1 & 6.6 should be merged</p> <p>6.3 is talking about leadership for a competent RN?</p>

Other comments	
Question 13. Do you have any other comments?	<p>The competency wordings need to be simplified., they are too wordy.</p> <p>Will there be additional guidance for portfolio preparation to provide some practice examples.</p> <p>An increase from 20 competencies to 41 competencies are far too many!</p> <p>Duplication needs to be removed as suggested in this submission</p>

Registered nurse scope of practice statement amendments

Consultation questions	Your response
Question 28. Do you agree with the proposed amendments to the registered nurse scope of practice?	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
Do you have any comments?	
Question 29. What would you strengthen, change, or add to the proposed registered nurse scope of practice	
Do you have any other comments?	